

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP  
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)  
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)  
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)  
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90004  
Telephone: (213) 252-8008  
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009  
scalequalaccess@yahoo.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
LEEMANUEL WEILCH

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LEEMANUEL WEILCH,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

WLC GROUP, LLC; and DOES 1 to 10,  
Defendants.

**Case No.:**

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL  
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED  
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES  
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL  
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED  
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY  
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff LEEMANUEL WEILCH ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants WLC  
GROUP, LLC; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers  
from complete paraplegia due to a spinal cord injury, and is substantially limited in his

1 ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in  
2 public.

3 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,  
4 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a coffee shop  
5 (“Business”) located at or about 257 S. La Cienega Blvd., Beverly Hills, California.

6 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or  
7 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,  
8 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of  
9 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been  
10 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such  
11 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to  
12 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

13 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant  
14 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other  
15 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing  
16 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other  
17 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

18 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or  
19 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be  
20 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly  
21 and severally.

## 22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and  
24 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*  
25 *seq.*).

26 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,  
27 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,  
28

1 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,  
2 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

3 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

4 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real  
5 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,  
6 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 10. In or about March of 2023, Plaintiff went to the Business.

9 11. The Business is a coffee shop business establishment, open to the public,  
10 and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.  
11 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

12 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally  
13 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the  
14 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

15 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business  
16 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 17 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
18 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
19 failed to post the required signage: "Unauthorized Parking."  
20 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
21 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
22 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the  
23 persons with disabilities as there were no "NO PARKING" markings  
24 painted on the surface of the access aisle.  
25 c. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for  
26 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state  
27 standards. Defendants failed to maintain the paint on the ground as  
28 required.

1 d. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for  
2 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state  
3 standards. Defendants failed to provide an adequate number of  
4 accessible parking spaces designated for the persons with disabilities.

5 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the  
6 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and  
7 patronize the Business, however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because  
8 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are  
9 removed.

10 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that  
11 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.  
12 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*  
13 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008).

14 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants  
15 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal  
16 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

17 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion  
18 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to  
19 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business  
20 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24  
21 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the  
22 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

23 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

25 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual  
28 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of

1 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of  
2 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place  
3 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

4 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 5 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or  
6 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such  
7 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations  
8 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that  
9 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of  
10 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or  
11 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 12 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no  
13 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or  
14 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the  
15 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can  
16 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the  
17 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
18 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42  
19 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 20 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers  
21 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation  
22 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an  
23 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that  
24 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail  
25 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where  
26 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 27 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum  
28 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily

1 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including  
2 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum  
3 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the  
4 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered  
5 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with  
6 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the  
7 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area  
8 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and  
9 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

10 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be  
11 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every  
12 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in  
13 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA  
14 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall  
15 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

16 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by  
17 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California  
18 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the  
19 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized  
20 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign  
21 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A  
22 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and  
23 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12  
24 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the  
25 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

26 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at  
27 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site  
28 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be

1 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in  
2 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in  
3 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license  
4 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”  
5 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

6 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the additional sign with the specific  
7 language stating “Unauthorized vehicles parked in designated accessible spaces not  
8 displaying distinguishing placards or special license plates issued for persons with  
9 disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”

10 25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted  
11 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked  
12 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting  
13 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall  
14 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches  
15 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §  
16 11B-502.3.3.

17 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no  
18 “NO PARKING” markings painted on the parking surface. Additionally, Defendants  
19 failed to maintain the paint of the blue hatched lines, which indicate the presence of the  
20 access aisle.

21 27. Parking spaces complying with 502 shall be provided in accordance with  
22 Table 208.2 except as required by 208.2.1, 208.2.2, and 208.2.2.3. 2010 ADA Standards  
23 § 208.2. Where more than one parking facility is provided on a site, the number of  
24 accessible spaces provided on the site shall be calculated according to the number of  
25 spaces required for each parking facility. *Id.*

26 28. Here, Defendants failed to provide adequate numbers of accessible parking  
27 spaces in its facility as there was only one accessible parking space while there were  
28 about 37 regular parking spaces for the parking lot.



1           29. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those  
2 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable  
3 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

4           30. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by  
5 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related  
6 regulations.

7           31. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to  
8 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be  
9 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks  
10 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable  
11 by individuals with disabilities.

## 12                                   **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

### 13                           **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

14           32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16           33. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this  
17 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,  
18 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual  
19 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full  
20 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business  
21 establishments of every kind whatsoever."

22           34. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,  
23 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable  
24 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be  
25 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the  
26 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any  
27 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any  
28 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.





individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

41. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the access of any person in violation of that act.

42. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

43. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

45. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

46. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds

1 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of  
2 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also  
3 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or  
4 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for  
5 persons with disabilities.

6 47. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be  
7 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods  
8 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who  
9 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).  
10 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct  
11 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an  
12 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not  
13 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

14 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **NEGLIGENCE**

16 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18 49. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil  
19 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities  
20 to the Plaintiff.

21 50. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,  
22 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

23 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff  
24 has suffered damages.

25 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

26 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against  
27 Defendants as follows:  
28

1           1.     For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply  
2 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

3           2.     Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory  
4 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

5           3.     Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition  
6 practices;

7           4.     Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this  
8 action;

9           5.     Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

10          6.     Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

11                               **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

12          Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby  
13 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

14  
15 Dated: June 28, 2023

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

16  
17  
18 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim  
19 Jason J. Kim, Esq.  
20 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28